

Manuscript: “**Cartographie De La Dynamique Historique Du Trait De Côte Des Plages De Guédiawaye Et Malika (Dakar, Sénégal)**”

**Submitted: 11 March 2021**

**Accepted: 21 June 2021**

**Published: 31 July 2021**

Corresponding Author: Papa Sagne

Doi:10.19044/esj.2021.v17n25p214

Peer review:

**Reviewer 1:** Evens Emmanuel, University of Quisqueya, Haiti

**Reviewer 2:** Mababa Diagne, Senegal

# ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

\*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ's website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- Yes
- No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper:

\*

- Yes
- No

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper:

\*

- Yes
- No

**The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.**

\*

*(Please insert your comments)*

Le titre est informatif et pertinent. L'article s'appuie sur des références définies au thème de l'étude. Cependant, la majorité des références de l'article n'est pas de dates récentes. Le reviewer demande aux auteurs de renforcer leur travail par des références beaucoup plus récentes et parues dans des revues scientifiques internationales.

**The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.**

\*

*(Please insert your comments)*

L'abstract est clair. L'objectif, la méthodologie et les principaux résultats sont bien inclus.

**There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.**

*(Please insert your comments)*

Les auteurs doivent bien relire la version révisée avant sa soumission.

**The study METHODS are explained clearly.**

\*

*(Please insert your comments)*

Il serait bien d'utiliser pour ce travail le terme de cadre expérimental au lieu de matériels et méthodes. Cette section nécessite une réorganisation.

**The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.**

\*

*(Please insert your comments)*

Non

**The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.**

\*

(Please insert your comments)  
Pertinente.

**The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.**

\*

Each in-text citation has to be included in the list of references and vice versa.  
(Please insert your comments)  
Bien

***Please rate the TITLE of this paper.***

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.***

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.***

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the METHODS of this paper.***

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the BODY of this paper.***

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.***

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.***

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

**Overall Recommendation!!!**

\*

- Accepted, no revision needed
- Accepted, minor revision needed
- Return for major revision and resubmission
- Reject

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

ESJ

Numéro du manuscrit: ESJ 0311/21

Titre: Cartographie de la dynamique historique du trait de côte des plages de Guédiawaye et Malika (Dakar, Sénégal).

# ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

\*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ's website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- Yes
- No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper:

\*

- Yes
- No

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper:

\*

- Yes
- No

**The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.**

\*

*(Please insert your comments)*

The title is clear and expresses the topic which is a real issue now in a lot of West African countries

**The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.**

\*

*(Please insert your comments)*

The English summary needs to be improved in its expression.

**There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.**

*(Please insert your comments)*

Small mistakes are noted in the document. They deserve to be corrected.

For example: Voix de Dégagement Nord (Voie de Dégagement Nord)

**The study METHODS are explained clearly.**

\*

*(Please insert your comments)*

The method used is very clear and made it possible to trace the shore line in the past.

**The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.**

\*

*(Please insert your comments)*

Take care to read the manuscript carefully for minor grammatical errors

**The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.**

\*

*(Please insert your comments)*

The conclusion reflects the ideas developed in the text

**The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.**

\*

Each in-text citation has to be included in the list of references and vice versa.  
(Please insert your comments)

Les références are recent and appropriate

***Please rate the TITLE of this paper.***

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.***

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.***

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the METHODS of this paper.***

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the BODY of this paper.***

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.***

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.***

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

**Overall Recommendation!!!**

\*

- Accepted, no revision needed
- Accepted, minor revision needed
- Return for major revision and resubmission
- Reject

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**